



Short and sweet: Associations between self-reported sleep duration and sugar-sweetened beverage consumption among adults in the United States



Aric A. Prather, PhD ^{a,b,*}, Cindy W. Leung, ScD, MPH ^b, Nancy E. Adler, PhD ^{a,b}, Lorrene Ritchie, PhD, RD ^c, Barbara Laraia, PhD, MPH, RD ^{b,d}, Elissa S. Epel, PhD ^{a,b}

^a Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Francisco, CA

^b Center for Health and Community, University of California, San Francisco, CA

^c Nutrition Policy Institute, University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Oakland, CA

^d Division of Community Health and Human Development, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, CA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 25 August 2016

Received in revised form 29 September 2016

Accepted 30 September 2016

Keywords:

Sleep

Sugar-sweetened beverage

Diet

NHANES

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) are a major factor in the development of obesity and cardiometabolic disease. Shortened sleep duration has also been linked to increased appetite and obesity. Here, we examined whether there was an association between self-reported sleep duration and SSB consumption among adults 18 years and older.

Methods: Using data from 2005–2012 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, we examined self-reported sleep duration and beverage intake (types of SSBs, juice, water, coffee, tea) from two 24-hour dietary recalls among 18,779 adults. Adults who slept 7–8 h/night were considered the reference group. Generalized linear models were computed adjusting for sociodemographics and health characteristics as well as total energy intake.

Results: Thirteen percent slept 5 or fewer hours per night. In fully adjusted models, those who slept 5 hours or less had 21% higher SSB consumption (relative difference, 1.21; 95% confidence interval, 1.11–1.32). When broken down by beverage type, this was due to caffeinated sugary beverages. Longer sleepers (≥ 9 -hour sleepers) consumed fewer servings of coffee and water. There were no associations between self-reported sleep duration and consumption of 100% juice, tea, or diet drinks.

Conclusions: Short sleep is associated with greater intake of sugared caffeinated sodas, a relationship that may have important, though unrecognized, implications for physical health. Directionality of this relationship cannot be determined from this study. Although caffeinated drinks could account for impaired sleep, it is possible that short sleep could influence one's appetitive drive for sugared caffeine drinks. Further examination of this relationship using prospective designs is warranted.

© 2016 National Sleep Foundation. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) are the primary source of added sugar in the American diet.¹ SSBs are linked to weight gain^{2,3} and increased incidence of coronary heart disease and type 2 diabetes.^{4–6} Epidemiologic data indicate that approximately 50% of the US population consumes a SSB on any given day⁷ and from the late 1970s to 2001, there was a 3-fold increase in SSB consumption

(3.9%–9.2% of calories consumed).⁸ The new 2015–2020 US Department of Agriculture dietary guidelines recommend added sugar consumption be limited to less than 10% of an individual's daily caloric intake.⁹ Although the consumption of added sugars has declined in recent years, average consumption among Americans remains above recommended levels¹⁰ (ie, 88 g of sugar per day)¹¹; excess SSB consumption significantly contributes to these high levels.

Factors that contribute to SSB consumption are multifaceted and include a range of social, environmental, and behavioral determinants. One factor that has received little attention is the role of sleep, despite the fact the growing cross-sectional and prospective evidence linking short sleep duration (eg, sleeping less than 6 hours per night) to weight gain and obesity^{12–15} as well as other negative

* Corresponding author at: Center for Health and Community, University of California, San Francisco, 3333 California St, Suite 465, San Francisco, CA 94118. Tel.: +1 415 476 7758; fax: +1 415 502 1010.

E-mail addresses: aric.prather@ucsf.edu, aricprather@gmail.com (A.A. Prather).

physical health outcomes (eg, type 2 diabetes^{16–19}) observed at greater frequency among those who consume high levels of SSBs.

The relationship between sleep and SSB consumption is likely complex. Experimental evidence indicates that acute and prolonged sleep loss can have substantial biologic and behavioral effects that may influence SSB consumption. For instance, adults exposed to either total or partial sleep restriction display alterations in satiety hormonal regulators such as ghrelin²⁰ and leptin,^{20,21} report increases in hunger,^{20,22} consume food beyond the energy costs of being awake,²³ and report preferences for high fat, high carbohydrate-rich foods.^{22,24} In addition to food preferences, sleep loss also affects food choice. In this regard, compared with a night of normal sleep, participants who underwent a night of total sleep deprivation and then subjected to a food purchasing task were significantly more likely to use their fixed budget to purchase high caloric foods, including those high in fat and sugar.²⁵ Alternatively, SSB consumption may result in shorter sleep duration. Many SSBs include caffeine, a well-recognized stimulant that increases alertness and, when consumed near bedtime, negatively influences one's ability to sleep. Indeed, caffeine avoidance is one of the key components of sleep hygiene recommendations.²⁶ Research on associations between sleep and SSB consumptions, in general, has been limited and there are no studies exploring the sleep-SSB link in adults.

If there is evidence that sleep duration is related to amount of SSB consumption, adequate sleep may serve as a viable intervention target for curbing weight-related disease risk. Accordingly, the aim of the present study was to examine the associations between self-reported measures of sleep duration and SSB consumption in a nationally representative sample from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES).

Participants and methods

Study population

NHANES is an ongoing, multistage cross-sectional survey administered by the National Center for Health Statistics. NHANES is designed to be representative of the civilian, noninstitutionalized US population and collects information on general health status, nutritional intake, health-related behaviors, and physiologic measurements from a questionnaire and a physical examination. This study combined data from 2005–2012 NHANES cycles (ie, 2005–2006, 2007–2008, 2009–2010, and 2011–2012), yielding data on 18,779 adults 18 years and older.

Self-reported sleep

Questions about sleep habits and sleep disorders were added to the NHANES questionnaire beginning in 2005 and were asked to adults 16 years and older. Average sleep duration was calculated from the question, "How much sleep do you usually get on weekdays or workdays?" and collapsed into 4 categories: ≤ 5 , 6, 7–8, and ≥ 9 h/d.²⁷

SSB consumption

Two 24-hour dietary recalls were administered to NHANES study participants; the first was conducted in person, and the second was conducted over the phone 3–10 days after the examination. Data from the US Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies were used to estimate dietary variables of interest.

Daily consumption (in 8 oz servings) of beverages was estimated and averaged across 2 days of intake for each participant. Because our interest was in total SSB intake, we created a summary measure of total SSB consumption as our primary outcome, which included sugar-sweetened sodas (ie, regular soda) and noncarbonated sugary

beverages (eg, fruit drinks, smoothies, sports drinks, energy drinks, flavored milk, sweetened coffee and tea drinks, and sweetened waters). We also included other frequently consumed beverages, such as 100% fruit juice, diet drinks (eg, beverages containing artificial sweeteners), plain (unsweetened) coffee, plain (unsweetened) tea, and plain water. Beverages associated with sleep duration were further categorized into caffeinated and de-caffeinated.

Study covariates

Covariates of interest included sociodemographics and health characteristics. Sociodemographic characteristics included age, sex, race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic, other race/multiracial), highest level of education (<12 years, high school diploma or equivalent, some college, college graduate), ratio of household income to the federal poverty level (FPL; 0–100% FPL, 100%–200% FPL, 200%–300% FPL, 300%–400% FPL, $>400\%$ FPL), and marital status (married or living with partner, separated/widowed/divorced, never married). Health characteristics and behaviors included smoking status (never, former, current), physical activity (sedentary, moderately active, vigorously active), self-reported health status (fair or poor, good, very good or excellent), and self-reported diagnosis of a sleep disorder (yes/no). Total energy intake and survey year (eg, 2005–2006, 2007–2008, 2009–2010, 2011–2012) were also included as covariates. Missing indicators were used to account for missing information for educational level ($n = 17$), marital status ($n = 713$), household income ($n = 1394$), smoking status ($n = 110$), physical activity ($n = 1478$), self-reported health status ($n = 1102$), and diagnosis of a sleep disorder ($n = 24$).

Statistical analysis

To make nationally representative estimates, complex dietary survey weights were used to account for different sampling probabilities and participation rates across the 8-year period. Individuals with an incomplete dietary recall, with total energy intake <500 or >5000 calories, and women pregnant at the time of the survey were excluded from the analysis, resulting in an analytic sample of 18,779 participants.

Descriptive statistics were used to compare distributions of sociodemographic and health characteristics by sleep categories. To account for the skewed distribution of beverage intakes, we examined associations with sleep categories using generalized linear models, assuming a gamma distribution and log link. These results are interpreted as the relative differences (RDs) in beverage intakes between groups, using 7–8 h/d of sleep as the reference. Models were adjusted for all sociodemographic and health characteristics listed previously. All statistical significance tests were 2-sided, and significance was considered at $P < .05$. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) and Stata/SE 12.1 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX).

Results

Sample characteristics

Of the 18,779 participants in this sample, 2879 (weighted 13.0%) reported sleeping 5 or fewer hours per night, whereas 4350 (weighted 22.8%) reported 6 hours, 10,075 (weighted 56.6%) reported between 7 and 8 hours, and 1475 (weighted 7.7%) reported sleeping 9 or more hours per night (Table 1). Compared with other sleep categories, participants reporting 5 or fewer hours of sleep were more likely to be black; have less than a high school education; have a lower household income; be separated, divorced, or widowed; be a current

Table 1
Descriptive statistics of 18,779 adults aged 18 and older: National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, United States, 2005–2012.

	≤5 h (n = 2879)		6 h (n = 4350)		7–8 h (n = 10,075)		≥9 h (n = 1475)		P
	n	% ^a	n	% ^a	n	% ^a	n	% ^a	
Age (Y), mean ± SE	46.1 ± 0.6		45.9 ± 0.4		46.4 ± 0.4		46.6 ± 0.9		.55
Female	1463	51.7	2167	47.9	5141	52.4	808	59.9	<.0001
Race/ethnicity									
White	1075	59.1	1842	66.6	5017	72.9	772	72.1	<.0001
Black	1002	22.1	1129	14.0	1745	8.3	281	10.6	
Hispanic	640	13.0	1072	13.3	2603	12.9	342	12.8	
Other race	162	5.8	307	6.2	710	6.0	80	4.6	
Highest education level									
<12 y	890	23.6	1076	15.8	2602	16.4	489	25.3	<.0001
High school diploma or equivalent	735	27.3	1069	25.6	2278	21.9	370	24.9	
Some college	873	33.9	1317	33.6	2796	29.6	399	29.0	
College graduate	377	15.0	885	25.0	2392	32.1	214	20.5	
Marital status									
Married or living with partner	1528	53.7	2434	61.7	5976	63.3	708	53.8	<.0001
Never married	521	19.5	798	18.3	1805	17.9	304	20.7	
Separated, divorced, or widowed	758	25.5	984	17.9	1897	16.0	353	19.2	
Household income (ratio to FPL)									
0–100% FPL	728	19.9	825	13.8	1872	12.1	354	18.8	<.0001
100%–200% FPL	753	25.5	1058	18.0	2347	17.9	407	21.7	
200%–300% FPL	393	15.2	639	14.9	1362	13.4	213	16.7	
300%–400% FPL	279	11.4	441	11.7	1135	13.3	155	12.2	
>400% FPL	502	21.3	1071	35.6	2608	37.4	243	25.8	
Smoking status									
Never smoker	1356	44.5	2294	52.3	5525	55.9	736	52.8	<.0001
Former smoker	658	22.4	994	23.5	2491	24.8	353	20.4	
Current smoker	849	32.8	1045	24.1	2003	19.0	365	25.8	
Physical activity in last 30 d									
Vigorous and moderate activity	1718	29.5	955	15.8	237	7.3	164	19.4	<.0001
Moderate activity only	1962	30.3	1989	31.0	815	22.5	270	24.5	
No physical activity	2271	30.0	3555	45.3	2780	66.8	585	48.3	
Self-reported health status									
Excellent or very good	713	29.1	1466	40.7	3996	47.8	485	38.7	<.0001
Good	1060	38.1	1670	38.1	3732	33.8	564	38.2	
Fair or poor	920	26.7	957	15.5	1769	12.6	345	18.3	
Diagnosed with sleep disorder	461	16.6	337	7.5	561	6.3	107	7.8	<.0001

^a Weighted percentage.

smoker and sedentary; report fair/poor health; and be diagnosed as having a sleep disorder. Individuals sleeping 9 or more hours were also more likely to have lower household income and lower educational attainment when compared with 7- to 8-hour sleepers.

Sleep duration and SSB consumption

SSB consumption by sleep category is presented in Table 2. Total consumption of SSBs was greater with shorter sleep duration. More specifically, those who reported sleeping ≤5 hours per night on average consumed significantly more SSBs compared with individuals who slept 7 to 8 hours per night independent of survey cycle, sociodemographic factors (age, sex, race/ethnicity, education

level, household income, marital status), health characteristics (smoking status, physical activity, and self-reported health status, sleep disorder diagnosis), and total energy intake (RD, 1.21; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.11–1.32). Elevated levels of consumption were also observed among 6-hour sleepers (RD, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.05–1.18) compared with 7- to 8-hour sleepers.

Examination of beverage type further illuminated the link between self-reported sleep duration and SSB consumption. In our models (Table 2), sleeping ≤5 hours was associated with 26% higher intake of sodas (RD, 1.26; 95% CI, 1.13–1.40) and sleeping 6 hours was associated with 14% higher intake of sodas (RD, 1.14; 95% CI, 1.04–1.25); ≤5-hour (RD, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.05–1.32) and 6-hour sleepers (RD, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.01–1.19) also consumed significantly more

Table 2
Associations between self-reported sleep duration and beverage intake.^a

	≤5 h			6 h			7–8 h			≥9 h		
	Mean (SE) servings	RD	95% CI	Mean (SE) servings	RD	95% CI	Mean (SE) servings	RD	95% CI	Mean (SE) servings	RD	95% CI
100% fruit juice	0.3 (0.02)	0.98	0.87–1.11	0.3 (0.01)	0.96	0.86–1.06	0.3 (0.01)	Ref.	–	0.3 (0.03)	1.17	0.91–1.50
Sugar-sweetened beverages (total)	2.4 (0.11)	1.21	1.11–1.32	2.0 (0.05)	1.11	1.05–1.18	1.6 (0.04)	Ref.	–	1.8 (0.11)	1.01	0.91–1.12
Regular sodas	1.3 (0.08)	1.26	1.13–1.40	1.0 (0.04)	1.14	1.04–1.25	0.8 (0.03)	Ref.	–	1.0 (0.09)	1.04	0.89–1.20
Noncarbonated sugary beverages	1.2 (0.07)	1.18	1.05–1.32	1.0 (0.03)	1.09	1.01–1.19	0.9 (0.03)	Ref.	–	0.8 (0.05)	0.95	0.84–1.08
Diet drinks	0.6 (0.05)	1.02	0.82–1.26	0.6 (0.04)	1.00	0.88–1.14	0.6 (0.03)	Ref.	–	0.5 (0.06)	1.00	0.80–1.26
Tea (plain)	0.4 (0.05)	1.10	0.87–1.39	0.4 (0.04)	0.92	0.77–1.09	0.5 (0.02)	Ref.	–	0.4 (0.08)	0.87	0.71–1.06
Coffee (plain)	1.3 (0.07)	1.00	0.89–1.12	1.3 (0.06)	1.00	0.93–1.09	1.3 (0.03)	Ref.	–	0.9 (0.05)	0.76	0.67–0.86
Water (plain)	4.3 (0.13)	1.04	0.98–1.10	4.44 (0.12)	1.01	0.97–1.07	4.4 (0.08)	Ref.	–	3.7 (0.15)	0.92	0.86–0.99

^a Models adjusted for sociodemographics (age, sex, race/ethnicity, education level, household income, marital status), health characteristics (smoking status, physical activity, and self-reported health status, sleep disorder diagnosis), and total energy intake. **Bolded** values are statistically significant compared with the reference group (7–8 hours).

noncarbonated sugary beverages compared with 7- to 8-hour sleepers. Self-reported sleep duration was unrelated to diet drinks, 100% juice, and tea consumption. Individuals who reported sleeping ≥ 9 hours on average consumed less coffee and less water than did 7- to 8-hour sleepers.

SSBs were further categorized as caffeinated or decaffeinated. As displayed in Table 3, the consumption of decaffeinated SSBs was similar across sleep categories. In contrast, total consumption of caffeinated SSBs was 33% higher in ≤ 5 -hour sleepers (RD, 1.33; 95% CI, 1.18–1.50) and 15% higher in 6-hour sleepers (RD, 1.15; 95% CI, 1.06–1.26) compared with 7- to 8-hour sleepers. Greater consumption was observed among ≤ 5 - and 6-hour sleepers for both caffeinated sodas and caffeinated noncarbonated sugary drinks compared with 7- to 8-hour sleepers.

Discussion

SSB consumption is one of the key dietary habits shown to contribute to the development and progression of several cardiometabolic conditions linked to obesity^{1,2}; however, the factors that lead to excess SSB consumption are not well-elucidated. In the present study, analyses revealed that short self-reported sleep duration (≤ 5 and 6 hours per night) was associated with greater SSB consumption in a nationally-representative sample of nearly 19,000 adults in the United States, after holding constant a bevy of sociodemographic factors (age, sex, race/ethnicity, marital status, level of education, household income), as well as health variables (total energy intake, smoking status, physical activity, self-reported health status, reports of sleep disorders). After these statistical adjustments, there was a dose-response relationship with total consumption of SSBs was 21% higher among ≤ 5 -hour sleepers and 11% higher among 6-hour sleepers relative 7- to 8-hour sleepers.

The association between sleep duration and total SSB consumption was observed when beverages were separated into sodas and noncarbonated sugary beverages; however, the effects appeared somewhat stronger for sodas. Indeed, compared with 7- to 8-hour sleepers, individuals who averaged ≤ 5 hours per night consumed 26% more sodas, whereas this same category of sleepers consumed 18% more noncarbonated sugary beverages. Regardless of the source, such excess sugar consumption may have considerable health implications.^{3,28} For instance, high levels of fructose, such as those observed in sodas, have been linked to increased insulin resistance,^{29,30} disruption in lipid processing,³⁰ excess inflammation,³¹ oxidative damage,³² and the development of the metabolic syndrome^{32,33} and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.³²

The present findings align with a small but emerging literature investigating sleep and SSBs in children and adolescents. In recent study of nearly 2500 elementary school students, participants obtaining fewer hours of sleep than recommended for their age

reported greater soda consumption than students obtaining “optimal” sleep (ie, ≥ 10 hours per weekday).³⁴ In a study of 676 children (aged 8–11 years), shorter sleep duration, measured objectively using wrist actigraphy, was associated with greater SSB consumption³⁵; the present study is the first to extend these findings to a nationally representative sample of adults.

Associations in this sample varied as a function of caffeine content. In this regard, ≤ 5 - and 6-hour sleepers reported greater consumption of caffeinated SSBs compared with 7- to 8-hour sleepers; such differences were not observed for de-caffeinated SSBs. As such, the excess consumption among shorter sleepers is most prominent in beverages with both added sugar and caffeine. It also raises the possibility that short sleepers may seek out caffeinated SSBs to increase alertness and stave off daytime sleepiness. Of course, an alternative explanation may be that excess caffeinated SSB consumption leads to shortened sleep duration. Indeed, experimental evidence suggests that regular caffeine consumption can result in significant decrements in sleep.³⁶ High levels of consumption of beverages with the combination of high caffeine and sugar, such as energy drinks, have been raised as a cause of short sleep duration and the metabolic conditions that appear at high prevalence among short sleepers (eg, type 2 diabetes).³⁷ Unfortunately, the cross-sectional nature of the data, including the lack of information about when a participant routinely consumes SSBs (eg, during the day vs near bedtime), precludes any inferences regarding the directionality of these findings.

This study is not without its limitations. As noted, the cross-sectional nature of the data precludes any clear inferences about causality or temporality. Although accurate assessments of dietary intake are inherently challenging, the 24-hour dietary recall used in the NHANES protocol uses the 5-step multiple-pass method, which has been validated previously^{38,39} and is considered the best method for assessing population-level intakes.⁴⁰ In contrast, sleep assessment relied on a single item self-report measure, which has not been validated using gold standard measures (eg, polysomnography). Studies examining associations of sleep with dietary intake using objective measures of sleep are needed. In addition, although analyses adjusted for sociodemographic and health characteristics known to show prior associations with sleep and SSB consumption, other potential confounders (eg, psychological stress, neighborhood socioeconomic status) unmeasured in NHANES may play a role in the sleep-SSB link. Replications and extensions of this work in large prospective cohort studies are warranted.

The long-term health consequences of excessive SSB consumption, including obesity, and economic burden that obesity and obesity-related medical conditions place on the health care system are substantial.⁴¹ There is a need to identify tractable behavioral pathways that may serve as opportunities for prevention. Self-control efforts are impaired by sleep debt.⁴² It may be that sufficient

Table 3

Associations between self-reported sleep duration and caffeinated and decaffeinated sugar-sweetened beverages.^a

	≤ 5 h			6 h			7–8 h			≥ 9 h		
	Mean (SE) servings	RD	95% CI	Mean (SE) servings	RD	95% CI	Mean (SE) servings	RD	95% CI	Mean (SE) servings	RD	95% CI
With caffeine												
Sugar-sweetened beverages (total)	1.5 (0.10)	1.33	1.18–1.50	1.1 (0.04)	1.15	1.06–1.26	0.9 (0.04)	Ref.	–	1.0 (0.09)	1.02	0.89–1.17
Sodas	1.0 (0.08)	1.37	1.17–1.61	0.7 (0.04)	1.18	1.07–1.31	0.6 (0.03)	Ref.	–	0.8 (0.08)	1.12	0.92–1.35
Noncarbonated sugary drinks	0.5 (0.06)	1.30	1.09–1.55	0.4 (0.02)	1.15	1.02–1.29	0.3 (0.02)	Ref.	–	0.3 (0.03)	0.87	0.69–1.11
Without caffeine												
Sugar-sweetened beverages (total)	1.0 (0.05)	1.03	0.93–1.15	0.9 (0.03)	1.05	0.97–1.14	0.8 (0.02)	Ref.	–	0.8 (0.05)	0.99	0.88–1.12
Sodas	0.3 (0.02)	0.94	0.78–1.13	0.3 (0.02)	1.03	0.87–1.21	0.2 (0.01)	Ref.	–	0.2 (0.03)	0.79	0.63–1.00
Noncarbonated sugary drinks	0.7 (0.04)	1.08	0.94–1.24	0.6 (0.03)	1.07	0.96–1.19	0.5 (0.02)	Ref.	–	0.6 (0.04)	1.06	0.90–1.24

^a Models adjusted for sociodemographics (age, sex, race/ethnicity, education level, household income, marital status), health characteristics (smoking status, physical activity, and self-reported health status, sleep disorder diagnosis) and total energy intake. **Bolded** values are statistically significant compared with the reference group (7–8 hours).

sleep can help bolster individuals' ability to resist SSBs when in a neighborhood or work environment highly saturated with SSB availability. These findings provide novel evidence that sleep duration may reflect a possible target for attenuating SSB consumption in adults, although there are likely bidirectional relationships between sleep and SSB consumption, particularly among caffeinated SSBs. Prospective studies tracking longitudinal changes in sleep and SSB consumption are warranted.

Disclosure statement

Dr Prather has received paid consulting fees from Posit Science on an unrelated project. The other co-authors have nothing to disclose.

Acknowledgments

Dr Prather's involvement in this study was supported by a Career Development Award (K08HL112961) from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Dr Leung's participation was supported by a Career Development Award (K99HD084758) from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

References

- Hu FB. Resolved: there is sufficient scientific evidence that decreasing sugar-sweetened beverage consumption will reduce the prevalence of obesity and obesity-related diseases. *Obes Rev*. 2013;14(8):606–619.
- Malik VS, Schulze MB, Hu FB. Intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain: a systematic review. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2006;84(2):274–288.
- Vartanian LR, Schwartz MB, Brownell KD. Effects of soft drink consumption on nutrition and health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Public Health*. 2007;97(4):667–675.
- de Koning L, Malik VS, Kellogg MD, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB. Sweetened beverage consumption, incident coronary heart disease, and biomarkers of risk in men. *Circulation*. 2012;125(14):1735–1741 [S1].
- Huang C, Huang J, Tian Y, Yang X, Gu D. Sugar sweetened beverages consumption and risk of coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Atherosclerosis*. 2014;234(1):11–16.
- Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Despres JP, Willett WC, Hu FB. Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33(11):2477–2483.
- Ogden CL, Kit BK, Carroll MD, Park S. Consumption of sugar drinks in the United States, 2005–2008. *NCHS Data Brief*. 2011;71:1–8.
- Popkin BM, Nielsen SJ. The sweetening of the world's diet. *Obes Res*. 2003;11(11):1325–1332.
- Agriculture USDoHaHSaUSDo. 2015–2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans; 2015.
- Welsh JA, Sharma AJ, Grellinger L, Vos MB. Consumption of added sugars is decreasing in the United States. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2011;94(3):726–734.
- Johnson RK, Appel LJ, Brands M, Howard BV, Lefevre M, Lustig RH, et al. Dietary sugars intake and cardiovascular health: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2009;120(11):1011–1020.
- Cappuccio FP, Taggart FM, Kandala NB, Currie A, Peile E, Stranges S, et al. Meta-analysis of short sleep duration and obesity in children and adults. *Sleep*. 2008;31(5):619–626.
- Gangwisch JE, Malaspina D, Boden-Albala B, Heymsfield SB. Inadequate sleep as a risk factor for obesity: analyses of the NHANES I. *Sleep*. 2005;28(10):1289–1296.
- Vgontzas AN, Bixler EO. Short sleep and obesity: are poor sleep, chronic stress, and unhealthy behaviors the link? *Sleep*. 2008;31(9):1203.
- Hasler G, Buysse DJ, Klaghofer R, Gamma A, Ajdacic V, Eich D, et al. The association between short sleep duration and obesity in young adults: a 13-year prospective study. *Sleep*. 2004;27(4):661–666.
- Ayas NT, White DP, Al-Delaimy WK, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Speizer FE, et al. A prospective study of self-reported sleep duration and incident diabetes in women. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26(2):380–384.
- Cappuccio FP, D'Elia L, Strazzullo P, Miller MA. Quantity and quality of sleep and incidence of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33(2):414–420.
- Gangwisch JE, Heymsfield SB, Boden-Albala B, Buijs RM, Kreier F, Pickering TG, et al. Sleep duration as a risk factor for diabetes incidence in a large U.S. sample. *Sleep*. 2007;30(12):1667–1673.
- Mallon L, Broman JE, Hetta J. High incidence of diabetes in men with sleep complaints or short sleep duration: a 12-year follow-up study of a middle-aged population. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28(11):2762–2767.
- Spiegel K, Tasali E, Penev P, Van Cauter E. Brief communication: sleep curtailment in healthy young men is associated with decreased leptin levels, elevated ghrelin levels, and increased hunger and appetite. *Ann Intern Med*. 2004;141(11):846–850.
- Spiegel K, Leproult R, L'Hermite-Baleriaux M, Copinschi G, Penev PD, Van Cauter E. Leptin levels are dependent on sleep duration: relationships with sympathovagal balance, carbohydrate regulation, cortisol, and thyrotropin. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2004;89(11):5762–5771.
- Greer SM, Goldstein AN, Walker MP. The impact of sleep deprivation on food desire in the human brain. *Nat Commun*. 2013;4:2259.
- Markwald RR, Melanson EL, Smith MR, Higgins J, Perreault L, Eckel RH, et al. Impact of insufficient sleep on total daily energy expenditure, food intake, and weight gain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013;110(14):5695–5700.
- Nedeltcheva AV, Kilkus JM, Imperial J, Kasza K, Schoeller DA, Penev PD. Sleep curtailment is accompanied by increased intake of calories from snacks. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;89(1):126–133.
- Chapman CD, Nilsson EK, Nilsson VC, Cedernaes J, Rangtall FH, Vogel H, et al. Acute sleep deprivation increases food purchasing in men. *Obesity*. 2013;21(12):E555–E560.
- Irish LA, Kline CE, Gunn HE, Buysse DJ, Hall MH. The role of sleep hygiene in promoting public health: a review of empirical evidence. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2014.
- Prather AA, Leung CW. Association of insufficient sleep with respiratory infection among adults in the United States. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2016;176(6):850–852.
- Lustig RH, Schmidt LA, Brindis CD. Public health: the toxic truth about sugar. *Nature*. 2012;482(7383):27–29.
- Dekker MJ, Su Q, Baker C, Rutledge AC, Adeli K. Fructose: a highly lipogenic nutrient implicated in insulin resistance, hepatic steatosis, and the metabolic syndrome. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2010;299(5):E685–E694.
- Stanhope KL, Schwarz JM, Keim NL, Griffen SC, Bremer AA, Graham JL, et al. Consuming fructose-sweetened, not glucose-sweetened, beverages increases visceral adiposity and lipids and decreases insulin sensitivity in overweight/obese humans. *J Clin Invest*. 2009;119(5):1322–1334.
- Aeberli I, Gerber PA, Hochuli M, Kohler S, Haile SR, Gouni-Berthold I, et al. Low to moderate sugar-sweetened beverage consumption impairs glucose and lipid metabolism and promotes inflammation in healthy young men: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2011;94(2):479–485.
- Lim JS, Miettus-Snyder M, Valente A, Schwarz JM, Lustig RH. The role of fructose in the pathogenesis of NAFLD and the metabolic syndrome. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2010;7(5):251–264.
- Rutledge AC, Adeli K. Fructose and the metabolic syndrome: pathophysiology and molecular mechanisms. *Nutr Rev*. 2007;65(6 Pt 2):S13–S23.
- Franckle RL, Falbe J, Gortmaker S, Ganter C, Taveras EM, Land T, et al. Insufficient sleep among elementary and middle school students is linked with elevated soda consumption and other unhealthy dietary behaviors. *Prev Med*. 2015;74:36–41.
- Kjeldsen JS, Hjorth MF, Andersen R, Michaelsen KF, Tetens I, Astrup A, et al. Short sleep duration and large variability in sleep duration are independently associated with dietary risk factors for obesity in Danish school children. *Int J Obes*. 2014;38(1):32–39.
- Bonnet MH, Arand DL. Caffeine use as a model of acute and chronic insomnia. *Sleep*. 1992;15(6):526–536.
- Grandner MA, Knutson KL, Troxel W, Hale L, Jean-Louis G, Miller KE. Implications of sleep and energy drink use for health disparities. *Nutr Rev*. 2014;72(Suppl. 1):14–22.
- Conway JM, Ingwersen LA, Moshfegh AJ. Accuracy of dietary recall using the USDA five-step multiple-pass method in men: an observational validation study. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2004;104(4):595–603.
- Conway JM, Ingwersen LA, Vinyard BT, Moshfegh AJ. Effectiveness of the US Department of Agriculture 5-step multiple-pass method in assessing food intake in obese and nonobese women. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003;77(5):1171–1178.
- Thompson FE, Subar AF. Dietary assessment methodology. In: Ferruzzi M, Coulston AM, Boushey CJ, editors. *Nutrition in the Prevention and Treatment of Disease*. 3rd ed. San Diego: Elsevier; 2013. p. 5–30.
- Finkelstein EA, Trogon JG, Cohen JW, Dietz W. Annual medical spending attributable to obesity: payer- and service-specific estimates. *Health Aff*. 2009;28(5):w822–w831.
- Pilcher JJ, Morris DM, Donnelly J, Feigl HB. Interactions between sleep habits and self-control. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2015;9:284.